arXiv:1605.02456v1 [math.CA] 9 May 2016

ON THE STRUCTURE OF FINITELY GENERATED SHIFT-INVARIANT SUBSPACES

K. S. KAZARIAN

Abstract

A characterization of finitely generated shift-invariant subspaces is given when generators are $g-$minimal. An algorithm is given for the determination of the coefficients in the well known representation of the Fourier transform of an element of the finitely generated shift-invariant subspace as a linear combination of Fourier transformations of generators. An estimate for the norms of those coefficients is derived. For the proof a sort of orthogonalization procedure for generators is used which reminds the well known Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process.

1. Introduction

Further in the paper $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $F \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ means that F is defined on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $1-$periodic complex-valued function with respect to all variables. Such a function is called $\mathbb{Z}^n-$ periodic function. If $w \geq 0$ is a measurable function on a measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ then we say that $\phi \in L^2(E, w)$ if $\phi : E \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable on E and the norm is defined by

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(E,w)} := \left(\int_E |\phi(\mathbf{t})|^2 w(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty.$$

In the above notation w will be omitted if $w \equiv 1$.

With some abuse of the notation it will be interpreted also that $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the unit cube $[0,1)^n$. The Lebesgue measure of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will be denoted as $|E|_n$. The characteristic function of the set E is denoted by χ_E. It is also supposed that $\frac{0}{0} = 0$. A closed subspace V of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called shift-invariant if for any $f \in V$ and for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ $f(\cdot + \mathbf{k}) \in V$. If Ω is a subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then we denote by $S(\Omega)$ the shift-invariant subspace generated by Ω,

$$S(\Omega) = \overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi(\cdot + \mathbf{k}) : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \varphi \in \Omega\}.$$

If Ω consists of just one element φ then the corresponding subspace is called a principle shift invariant space (PSI) and is denoted by $S(\varphi)$. If $\operatorname{card}\Omega < \infty$ then $S(\Omega)$ is called finitely generated shift-invariant, or FSI space. A characterization of FSI spaces in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given in [1], [2]. Further on the Fourier transform, an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself, is defined so that the image of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\widehat{f}(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} d\mathbf{x}.$$

Key words and phrases. Finitely generated shift invariant subspace, Fourier transform, weighted norm spaces, orthogonalization procedure for generators.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ using the definition given in [1] we put

$$[f,g](\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^n} f(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{k})\overline{g(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{k})}.$$

It is easy to observe that $[f,g] \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and

$$|[f,g](\mathbf{t})|^2 \le [f,f](\mathbf{t})[g,g](\mathbf{t}) \qquad \text{almost everywhere on } \mathbb{T}^n.$$

When it will be convenient we also use the notation $W_g(\mathbf{t}) = [\widehat{g},\widehat{g}](\mathbf{t})$ which is a well defined $\mathbb{Z}^n-$periodic non-negative function. The orthogonal projection of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $S(\Omega)$ is denoted by $P_\Omega(f)$.

The following theorem was proved in [1].

Theorem (BDR)**.** *For any finite subset $G \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f \in S(G)$ if and only if*

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{\phi\in G} m_\phi \widehat{\phi}$$

for some $\mathbb{Z}^n-$periodic functions m_ϕ.

Different variations of the following lemma appeared in various publications (see e.g. [3], [1], [2] and [5]).

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then a function f is in $S(\varphi)$, if and only if there is a measurable function F defined on $\mathbb{T}^n$ such that $F \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_\varphi)$,*

$$\widehat{f}(\mathbf{t}) = F(\mathbf{t})\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{t}) \quad \textit{a.e. on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n;$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_\varphi)}.$$

The above lemma establishes an isometry between the subspace $S_(\varphi)$ and the subspace

$$\widehat{S(\varphi)} = \widehat{\varphi}L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_\varphi) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{1.1}$$

In [5] it was established that shifts of a generator constitute a summation basis with respect to the Abel-Poisson and some Cesaro methods of summation in the corresponding PSI. A survey about the results on basis properties in weighted mean spaces of some incomplete orthonormal systems can be find in [4].

Let $G = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a finite subset of non trivial functions. For any $\phi_j \in G, 1 \le j \le N$ we put $G^{(j)} = G \setminus \{\phi_j\}$ and say that G is $g-$minimal if for any $1 \le j \le N$ it follows that $\phi_j \notin S(G^{(j)})$. Further in the paper we suppose that G is $g-$minimal and $N \ge 2$. Let

$$\widehat{h}_j = \widehat{\varphi}_j - \widehat{P_{G^{(j)}}(\varphi_j)}, \quad \text{where} \quad 1 \le j \le N. \tag{1.2}$$

We say that G is an orthogonal set of generators if

$$[\widehat{\phi}_l, \widehat{\phi}_j](\mathbf{t}) = 0 \qquad \text{a.e. on} \quad \mathbb{T}^n \qquad \text{if} \quad j \ne l\,(1 \le l, j \le N). \tag{1.3}$$

In the present paper we prove the following theorem which gives a bit different characterization of finitely generated SI subspaces when the set of generators is $g-$minimal.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a finite $g-$minimal subset. Then for any $f \in S(G)$ it follows that*

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j(f)\widehat{\varphi}_j, \quad \text{where} \quad m_j(f) = \frac{[\widehat{f}, \widehat{h}_j]}{[\widehat{h}_j, \widehat{h}_j]} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_j}), \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \|m_j(f)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_j})} \le \|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{1.5}$$

where the functions h_j are defined by (1.2).Moreover, for any $j(1 \le j \le N)$ and any $m \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_j})$ there exists $\psi \in S(G^{(j)})$ such that

$$m\widehat{\varphi}_j + \widehat{\psi} \in \widehat{S(G)}.$$

2. Orthogonalization of the generators

The following proposition is true.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\Omega = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\nu} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a finite set of orthogonal generators.*

Then $f \in S(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} m_k(f)\widehat{\phi}_k, \quad \text{where} \quad m_k(f) = \frac{[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\phi}_k]}{[\widehat{\phi}_k, \widehat{\phi}_k]} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{\phi_k}). \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \|m_k(f)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{\phi_k})}.$$

Proof. For $\nu = 1$ we have $S(\Omega) = S(\varphi)$. In this case the proposition holds by Lemma 1.1. To check (2.1) one should observe that for any trigonometric polynomial Q on $\mathbb{T}^n$ if $\widehat{f} = Q\widehat{\varphi}$ then $[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}] = Q[\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi}]$. Afterwards for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_\varphi)$ there exists a sequence of trigonometric polynomials $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} Q_j = g$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_\varphi)$. Which means that $\lim_{j\to\infty}[Q_j\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi}] = [g\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi}]$, hence, the condition (2.1) holds.

If $\nu > 1$ by (1.3) it follows that $S(\phi_l) \perp S(\phi_j)$ for $l \ne j(1 \le l, j \le \nu)$. Hence, if $f \in S(\Omega)$ and $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} f_j$, where $f_j \in S(\phi_j), (1 \le j \le \nu)$ then clearly $\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \|f_j\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Let $f \in S(\Omega)$ and let $P_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} f_{j,k}$, where $f_{j,k} \in S(\phi_j), 1 \le j \le \nu$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} P_k = f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It follows that $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By mutual orthogonality of the subspaces $S(\phi_j)$ we will have that each sequence $\{f_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 \le j \le \nu)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $S(\phi_j)$. Thus we obtain that $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} f_j$, where $f_j \in S(\phi_j), (1 \le j \le \nu)$.

The proof of sufficiency follows by Lemma 1.1. If the condition (2.1) holds then $m_k(f)\widehat{\phi}_k \in \widehat{S(\phi_k)}$ for all $k(1 \le k \le \nu)$. Hence, $f \in S(\Omega)$. □

Following two propositions establish an orthogonalization procedure for generators which remind the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $G = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a finite g−minimal subset.*
Then the functions $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^N$ defined by the relations $g_1 = \varphi_1$, and

$$\widehat{g}_k = \widehat{\varphi}_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_j^{(k)} \widehat{g}_j, \qquad 1 < k \leq N \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$b_j^{(k)} = [\widehat{\varphi}_k, \widehat{g}_j][\widehat{g}_j, \widehat{g}_j]^{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{g_j}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \tag{2.3}$$

and $g_k \in S(G_k)$ for all $k(1 \leq k \leq N)$, where $G_k = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^k$. Moreover, for any $1 \leq l, j \leq N$

$$[\widehat{g}_l, \widehat{g}_j](t) = 0 \qquad \text{a.e. on} \quad \mathbb{T}^n \qquad \text{if} \quad j \neq l. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We prove by mathematical induction. First we check easily that $[\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] = 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}^n$. On the other hand

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |b_1^{(2)}(\mathbf{t})|^2 W_{g_1}(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} [\widehat{\varphi}_2, \widehat{\varphi}_2](\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{\varphi}_2(\mathbf{t})|^2 d\mathbf{t} < +\infty.$$

It is clear that $g_2 \in S(G_2)$.

Afterwards, suppose that for some $1 < m \leq N-1$ the condition (2.4) holds for all $1 \leq l, j \leq m-1$, where the functions $g_j (1 \leq j \leq m-1)$ are defined by (2.2) and the coefficients satisfy the relations (2.3). Let $\widehat{g}_m = \widehat{\varphi}_m - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} b_j^{(m)} \widehat{g}_j$, where $b_j^{(m)} = [\widehat{\varphi}_m, \widehat{g}_j][\widehat{g}_j, \widehat{g}_j]^{-1}$. Then for any $l(1 \leq l \leq m-1)$ it follows that

$$[\widehat{g}_m, \widehat{g}_l] = [\widehat{\varphi}_m, \widehat{g}_l] - b_l^{(m)} [\widehat{g}_l, \widehat{g}_l] = [\widehat{\varphi}_m, \widehat{g}_l] - [\widehat{\varphi}_m, \widehat{g}_l] = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

That $b_l^{(m)} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{g_l}), 1 \leq l \leq m-1$ is proved in the same way as the relation $b_1^{(2)} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{g_1})$. It is clear that $g_m \in S(G_m)$. □

The construction of the system $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^N$ according to Proposition 2.2 will be referred as orthogonalization procedure for generators. It should be mentioned that the formula for the orthogonal projection of a function onto a given PSI was obtained in [2].

Proposition 2.3. *Let G_m be a finite g−minimal generating set for some $1 < m \leq N$. Suppose that $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^m$ are defined by (2.2). Then $S(G_m) = \oplus_{k=1}^m S(g_k)$.*

Proof. By (2.2) and (1.1) it is easy to check that

$$S(G_m) \subseteq \oplus_{k=1}^m S(g_k) \qquad \text{and} \qquad S(G_m) \supseteq \oplus_{k=1}^m S(g_k).$$

□

By Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a finite g−minimal subset. Then for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the orthogonal projection $P_G(f)$ of f onto $S(G)$ is given by*

$$\widehat{P_G(f)} = \sum_{k=1}^N [\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}_k][\widehat{g}_k, \widehat{g}_k]^{-1} \widehat{g}_k,$$

where $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^N$ are defined by equations (2.2) and (2.3).

2.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** For any $f \in S(G)$ there exists a sequence of functions $Q_\nu = \sum_{j=1}^N P_j^{(\nu)}$, where $P_j^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{G}_{\nu,j}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\nu,j)}\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\nu,j} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n (\nu \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le j \le N)$ are some bounded sets such that $\lim_{\nu\to\infty} Q_\nu = f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then it follows that $\lim_{\mu\to\infty,\mu<\nu} \|Q_\nu - Q_\mu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$. By the Plancherel theorem and Proposition 2.1 it follows that for any $j (1 \le j \le N)$

$$\|Q_\nu - Q_\mu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\widehat{Q}_\nu - \widehat{Q}_\mu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \ge \|T_j^{(\nu)} - T_j^{(\mu)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_j})},$$

where h_j is defined by (1.2) and

$$T_j^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{G}_{\nu,j}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\nu,j)} e^{2\pi i\langle \mathbf{k},\mathbf{t}\rangle}.$$

Thus the sequence $\{T_j^{(\nu)}\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in the space $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_j})$. Let $m_j(f) = \lim_{\nu\to\infty} T_j^{(\nu)}$, where the limit is taken in the space $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_j})$. We have that

$$\widehat{Q}_\nu(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{j=1}^N T_j^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{t})\widehat{\varphi}_j(\mathbf{t})$$

and $\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \widehat{Q}_\nu = \widehat{f}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, (1.4) holds.

The inequality (1.5) is proved by induction. Suppose that $N = 2$. By Propositions 2.3 and 2.1 it follows that the set of generators $\{\varphi_1, h_2\}$ is orthogonal and $S(G) = S(\varphi_1) \oplus S(h_2)$. Hence, by Proposition 2.2 it follows that $\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|m_1(f)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{\varphi_1})} + \|m_2(f)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_2})}$. On the other hand we have that

$$W_{h_1}(\mathbf{t}) = [\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_1](\mathbf{t}) = \left[\widehat{\varphi}_1 - \frac{[\widehat{\varphi}_1, \widehat{\varphi}_2]}{[\widehat{\varphi}_2, \widehat{\varphi}_2]}\widehat{\varphi}_2, \widehat{\varphi}_1 - \frac{[\widehat{\varphi}_1, \widehat{\varphi}_2]}{[\widehat{\varphi}_2, \widehat{\varphi}_2]}\widehat{\varphi}_2\right](\mathbf{t})$$

$$= [\widehat{\varphi}_1, \widehat{\varphi}_1](\mathbf{t}) - \frac{|[\widehat{\varphi}_1, \widehat{\varphi}_2](\mathbf{t})|^2}{[\widehat{\varphi}_2, \widehat{\varphi}_2](\mathbf{t})} \le W_{\varphi_1}(\mathbf{t}).$$

Thus the inequality (1.5) is proved for $N = 2$. Suppose that the inequality (1.5) is true for any $g-$minimal FSI $S(G)$ with $\operatorname{card} G = N$. Let $S(\Omega)$ be a $g-$minimal FSI such that $\Omega = \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{N+1}$ and let

$$\widehat{\varphi}_j = \widehat{\phi}_j - \frac{[\widehat{\phi}_j, \widehat{\phi}_{N+1}]}{[\widehat{\phi}_{N+1}, \widehat{\phi}_{N+1}]}\widehat{\phi}_{N+1}, \qquad 1 \le j \le N. \tag{2.5}$$

It is clear that $S(G) \perp S(\phi_{N+1})$, where $G = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and that $S(G) \oplus S(\phi_{N+1}) \subseteq S(\Omega)$. On the other hand $\phi_j \in S(G) \oplus S(\phi_{N+1})$ for any $1 \le j \le N$. Thus $S(G) \oplus S(\phi_{N+1}) = S(\Omega)$ and we have that for any $f \in S(\Omega)$

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{j=1}^N m_j(f) + \frac{[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\phi}_{N+1}]}{[\widehat{\phi}_{N+1}, \widehat{\phi}_{N+1}]}\widehat{\phi}_{N+1},$$

where $m_j(f)$ are defined as in (1.4) and $\widehat{h}_j, 1 \le j \le N$ are defined by (1.2). It is easy to check that for any $1 \le j \le N$

$$\widehat{\phi}_j - \widehat{P_{\Omega^{(j)}}(\phi_j)} = \widehat{\phi}_j - \widehat{P_{\Omega^{(j)}}(\varphi_j)} - \frac{[\widehat{\phi}_j, \widehat{\phi}_{N+1}]}{[\widehat{\phi}_{N+1}, \widehat{\phi}_{N+1}]}\widehat{\phi}_{N+1} = \widehat{\varphi}_j - \widehat{P_{G^{(j)}}(\varphi_j)} = \widehat{h}_j.$$

If we put

$$\widehat{h}_{N+1} = \widehat{\phi}_{N+1} - \widehat{P_{\Omega^{(N+1)}}(\phi_{N+1})}$$

then as above we check that $W_{h_{N+1}}(\mathbf{t}) \leq W_{\phi_{N+1}}(\mathbf{t})$ and finish the proof using the inductive supposition.

The second part of the theorem is an easy consequence of Propositions 2.1-2.3. For a fixed $j(1 \leq j \leq N)$ we consider a rearrangement $\{\varphi_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^N$ of the generators such that $\varphi_{k_N} = \varphi_j$. Applying the orthogonalization procedure on the set of generators $\{\varphi_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^N$ we obtain an orthogonal set of generators $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^N$ such that $\psi_N = h_j$. By Proposition 2.3 it follows that $S(G) = \oplus_{k=1}^N S(\psi_k)$. Thus for any $m \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, W_{h_j})$ if follows that $m\widehat{h}_j \in \widehat{S(G)}$ and by (1.2) the proof is finished.

References

1. C. de Boor, R. DeVore and A. Ron, The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant subspaces in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Journal of Functional Analysis 119 (1994) 37–78.
2. C. de Boor, R. DeVore and A. Ron, Approximation from shift-invariant subspaces of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Trans. AMS 341 (1994) 787–806.
3. I. Daubechies, Ten lectures on wavelets, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
4. K.S. Kazarian, R.E. Zink Some ramifications of a theorem of Boas and Pollard concerning the completion of a set of functions in L^2, Trans. AMS 349 (1997) 4367–4383.
5. K.S. Kazarian, Generalized Fourier series and shift-invariant subspaces, in: T.P. Lukashenko, A.P. Solodov(Eds.), Contemporary Problems of Mathematics and Mechanics, Generalized Integrals and Harmonic Analysis, Dedicated to the 80-th anniversary of V.A. Skvortsov, v. 11, 1 (2016), 105–112.
   arXiv:1305.6224[math.CA]

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: kazaros.kazarian@uam.es